
Enhancing Library Access and Services through Mobile Applications

by

Dr. S. Kishore Kumar

Deputy Librarian, Central Library, Alagappa University, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu

Dr. Lokesh Naik,

Librarian, Govt., First Grade College, Koratagere, Tumkur Dist, Karnataka

Abstract:

This article discusses the potential of mobile apps for libraries and information services to enhance user access to resources and services. The key features of effective mobile apps such as personalized accounts, search function, push notifications, and easy navigation are highlighted. The advantages of mobile apps for library users and professionals are discussed along with potential disadvantages such as limited functionality, technical issues, privacy concerns, cost, and a learning curve. The article concludes that mobile apps should be an essential part of any comprehensive digital strategy for libraries.

Keywords: mobile apps, libraries, information services, user access, personalized accounts, push notifications, easy navigation, limited functionality, technical issues, privacy concerns, cost, learning curve.

Introduction:

Mobile technology has become an increasingly popular way for people to access information and services (Arms,1996) and (Arms, 1995). Libraries and other information services have recognized the potential of mobile apps to provide users with easy access to resources and improve the overall user experience (Kahle, 1997). Hence, this article focuses on the key features of effective mobile apps for libraries, as well as the advantages they offer to both library users and professionals.

Mobile Application:

A mobile application is a software program designed to run on mobile devices such as smartphones and tablets. Mobile apps can be used for a variety of purposes, including accessing information, conducting transactions, and interacting with other users. In the

context of libraries, mobile apps can be used to enhance user access to library resources and services.

Features of a Mobile App:

An effective mobile app for libraries should include features such as a search function, personalized user accounts, push notifications, and easy navigation. The app should also be optimized for different mobile devices and operating systems to ensure that it is accessible to as many users as possible.

Advantages of a Mobile App to Library and Information Users:

Kahle, B. (1997), stated that mobile apps provide several benefits to library users, including easy access to resources anytime and anywhere, personalized recommendations based on their interests, and the ability to interact with the library and other users through social media and chat functions. Therefore, mobile apps also offer libraries the opportunity to reach a wider audience, including those who may not have previously used library services.

Advantages of a Mobile App to Library and Information Users:

While mobile apps for libraries offer many benefits, there are also some potential disadvantages to consider (Mauldin, 1997),:

- Limited functionality: Mobile apps may not offer the same level of functionality as a full desktop site or physical library. For example, users may not be able to access certain resources or services through the app.
- Technical issues: Mobile apps may experience technical issues such as crashes, slow loading times, or compatibility issues with different devices and operating systems.
- Privacy concerns: Mobile apps may collect user data and personal information, raising concerns about privacy and security.
- Cost and maintenance: Developing and maintaining a mobile app can be expensive and time-consuming, which may not be feasible for all libraries or information services.
- Learning curve: Users may need to learn how to navigate the app, which may require additional time and effort.

Overall, while there are some disadvantages to using mobile apps for libraries and information services, these can often be mitigated by careful planning, design, and implementation. By focusing on the needs of users and providing a seamless, user-friendly experience, mobile apps can become an important tool for enhancing library access and services.

Library Professionals:

Mobile apps can be a valuable tool for library professionals as well (Bowman and others, 1994). They can streamline tasks such as book renewals and reservations, reduce staff workload, and provide real-time information on library usage and trends (Crane, 1998). So, mobile apps can also enhance communication between library staff and users, allowing for faster response times to inquiries and feedback.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, mobile applications represent a valuable tool for libraries and information services to provide users with easy access to resources and services. By incorporating key features such as a search function, personalized accounts, and push notifications, libraries can create effective mobile apps that meet the needs of their users. Additionally, mobile apps can provide benefits to library professionals by streamlining tasks and improving communication with users. As such, mobile apps should be an essential part of any comprehensive digital strategy for libraries.

References

1. Arms, C. (1996), "Historical collections for the National Digital Library", D-Lib Magazine", Vol. 2, No. 4. <http://dlib.org/dlib/april96/loc/04c-arms.html>.
2. Arms, W. (1995), "Key concepts in the architecture of the digital library," D-Lib Magazine, Vol. 1, No. 1. <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/July95/07arms.html>.
3. Arms, W. (2000), "Automated digital libraries. How effectively can computers be used for the skilled tasks of professional librarianship?", D-Lib Magazine, Vol. 6, No. 7/8. <http://dlib.org/dlib/july00/arms/07arms.html>.
4. Avram, H. (1986), "The Linked Systems Project: its implications for resource sharing", Library Resources and Technical Services, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 36-46.
5. Avram, H. (1975), MARC; its history and implications, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington

6. D.C. Bowen, W. (1999), "JSTOR and the economics of scholarly communication", *Journal of Library Administration*, Vol. 26, No. 1-2, pp. 27-44. DOI:10.1300/J111v26n01_05.
7. Bowman, M., Danzig, P., Manber, U., and Schwartz, M. (1994), "Scalable Internet Resource Discovery: Research Problems and Approaches," *Communications of the ACM*, Vol. 37, No. 8, pp. 98-107.
8. Brin, S., Page, L. (1998), "The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual Web search engine", *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems*, , pp. 107- 117.
9. Cleverdon, C. (1967), "The Cranfield tests on index language devices", *ASLIB Proceedings Vol. 30*, Vol. 19, No. 6, pp. 173-194.
10. Crane, G. (1998), "The Perseus Project and Beyond", *D-Lib Magazine*, Vol. 4, No. 1. <http://www.dlib.org/dlib/january98/01crane.html>. Davis, J. and Lagoze, C. (2000), "NCSTRL: design and development of a globally distributed digital library", *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, Vol. 51, No. 3, pp. 273-280.
11. Friedlander, A., and Arms, W. (1996), "D-Lib", *Ariadne*, No. 5. <http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/issue5/dlib/>.
12. Ginsparg, P. (1997), "Electronic research archives for physics", in *The Impact of Electronic Publishing on the Academic Community*, Portland Press, London.
13. Griffin, S. (1998), "NSF/DARPA/NASA Digital Libraries Initiative: a program manager's perspective", *D-Lib Magazine*, Vol. 4, No. 4. <http://dlib.org/dlib/july98/07griffin.html>
14. Gusack, N., and Lynch, C. (1995), "The TULIP project", *Library Hi Tech*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp.7-24.
15. Kahle, B. (1997), "Archiving the Internet", *Scientific American*, March.
16. Kahn and Cerf (1988), *The world of Knowbots*, Corporation for National Research Initiatives, Reston VA. <http://www.cnri.reston.va.us/kahn-cerf-88.pdf>.
17. Lesk, M., et al. (1992), "Better things for better chemistry through multi-media", *Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Conference of UW Centre for the New OED and Text Research*,

18. Waterloo, Ontario. <http://www.lesk.com/mlesk/waterloo92/w92.html>. Library of Congress (2011), A bibliographic framework for the digital age, news announcement, October 31. <http://www.loc.gov/marc/transition/news/framework103111.html#ftn1>.
19. Mauldin, M. (1997), "Lycos: Design choices in an Internet search service", IEEE Expert, Jan-Feb, pp. 8-11.
20. The Mercury Team (1992), The Mercury Electronic Library and Library Information System II: the first three years, Mercury Technical Reports Series, No. 6, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh. <http://www.cs.cornell.edu/wya/papers/Mercury6.doc>.
21. Rubin, J. (1973), "LEXIS: An automated research system," in May, R. A., Automated law research, American Bar Association, pp. 35-42.
22. Sirbu, M. and Tygar, D. (1995), "NetBill: an Internet commerce system optimized for network delivered services", Proceedings of the IEEE CompCon.
23. Smith, M., et al. (2003), "DSpace an open source dynamic digital repository", D-Lib Magazine, Vol. 9, No. 1. <http://dlib.org/dlib/january03/smith/01smith.html>.
24. Valauskas, E., Dyson, E. and Ghosh R. (1996), "Editors' introduction", First Monday, Vol. 1, No. 1. <http://firstmonday.org/htbin/cgiwrap/bin/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/464/385>.
